## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44350 CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 RN101569010 Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E

**Order Type:** 

1660 Agreed Order

**Findings Order Justification:** 

N/A

**Media:** 

**PST** 

**Small Business:** 

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Speedmax 6, 9531 C F Hawn Freeway, Dallas, Dallas County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

**Other Significant Matters:** 

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 16, 2012

Comments Received: No

**Penalty Information** 

**Total Penalty Assessed: \$7,609** 

**Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement:** \$1,521 **Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay:** \$0

**Total Paid to General Revenue:** \$173 **Total Due to General Revenue:** \$5,915

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$169 each

**SEP Conditional Offset:** \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

**Compliance History Classifications:** 

Person/CN - Average Site/RN - Average

Major Source: Yes

**Statutory Limit Adjustment:** N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44350 CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 RN101569010 Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E

### **Investigation Information**

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: August 9, 2011 and May 1, 2012

**Date(s) of NOE(s):** May 2, 2012

### **Violation Information**

- 1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d)].
- 2. Failed to maintain underground storage tank ("UST") records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].

# $Corrective \ Actions/Technical \ Requirements$

## **Corrective Action(s) Completed:**

Respondent conducted the required triennial testing of the corrosion protection system with passing results on November 15, 2011.

# **Technical Requirements:**

The Order will require Respondent to:

- a. Immediately, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel; and
- b. Within 15 days, submit written certification demonstrating compliance.

# Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

## **Contact Information**

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

**TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator:** Thomas Greimel, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-5690; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-0412

## Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 44350 CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 RN101569010 Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E

**TCEQ SEP Coordinator**: N/A

Respondent: Daniel Son, President, CLJS, Inc., 9531 C F Hawn Freeway, Dallas,

Texas 75217-7522

**Respondent's Attorney:** N/A

### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision October 30, 2008 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Assigned 7-May-2012 PCW 15-Jun-2012 Screening 13-Jun-2012 **EPA** Due RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN101569010 Facility/Site Region 4-Dallas/Fort Worth Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 2 Enf./Case ID No. 44350 Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit No Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Thomas Greimel EC's Team Enforcement Team 7 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum \$0 Maximum \$10,000 Penalty Calculation Section **TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)** \$7,500 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. Compliance History Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$150 2.0% Enhancement Enhancement for one NOV with a dissimilar violation. Notes 0.0% Enhancement \$0 Culpability No Subtotal 4 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$1,250 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 Subtotal 6 \$0 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement\* Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount Approx. Cost of Compliance **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** \$6,400 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE \$1,209 18.9% Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes associated with violation no. 1. Final Penalty Amount \$7,609 STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT Final Assessed Penalty \$7,609

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

20.0%

Reduction

Adjustment

-\$1,521

\$6,088

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Screening Date 13-Jun-2012

**Docket No.** 2012-1203-PST-E

Respondent CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6

Case ID No. 44350

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101569010

**Media [Statute]** Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Thomas Greimel

Component	ry Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjus
	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in		
NOVs	the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	1	2%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of	0	0%
Orders	orders meeting criteria )  Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a dariel of liability or default orders of this state or the foderal		0 70
	without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	<b>0</b>	0%
	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a		
Judgments and Consent	denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non- adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	<b>0</b>	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature,	0	0%
Audits	1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)		
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Plea	ase Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
Other.	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Perc	centage (Sub	total 2
eat Violator ( No		rentage (Sub	total 3
	-		
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average Pe	erformer Adjustment Percentage	entage (Sub	total 7
pliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	Enhancement for one NOV with a dissimilar violation.		

**DEPART - A SERVICE - A SE	ite 13-Jun-2012	Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E	PCW
Explication research that will be accompanied to the companies of the comp	ent CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6	5	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID	100 CC		PCW Revision October 30, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference i	FIXAL PRO		
\$ - 2 - 21/21   2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	Petroleum Storage Tank		
Ent. Coordina Violation Num	tor Thomas Greimel		
Rule Cite	30 Tex. Admin. Code §	334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3	475(d)
		<del> </del>	
Violation Descript	ion of protection at a frequer	c protection system tested for operability and not of at least once every three years. Specification test had not been conducted.	
		Bas	e Penalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Pro	oerty and Human Healt	h Matrix	
	Harm		
OR Rele	ase Major Moderate tual	Minor	
Poter		Percent 50%	
	A		
>>Programmatic Matri		Minor	
Falsificati	on Major Moderate	Minor Percent 0%	
Matrix Human	health or the environment will	or could be exposed to pollutants which would	exceed
		or environmental receptors as a result of the	
		Adjustment	\$5,000
			\$5,000
			\$5,000
Violation Events		Contracting the second second second second	
Number	of Violation Events 1	1096 Number of violation	days
Number	of Violation Events 1	1096 Number of violation	uays
	daily		
	weekly		
mark only o		Violation Base	e Penalty \$5,000
with an >	semiannual		#5,000
	annual		
	single event x		
		All all and a second a second and a second a	2011
one s	-	the three-year period preceding the August 9, vestigation date.	2011
Good Faith Efforts to C	omply 25.0%	Reduction	\$1,250
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
Tronsporter	Extraordinary		
TO PROTECTION	Ordinary x	(mark with x)	
	N/A		
		ndent came into compliance on November 15, or to the May 2, 2012 Notice of Enforcement.	
	. 325	to the ridy 2, 2012 Hotel of Emoredimental	
		Violation	Subtotal \$3,750
Economic Benefit (EB)	for this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
	nated EB Amount	\$1,209 Violation Final Pena	
	inis vid	olation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$4,577

	E	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent	i.	Speedmax 6					
Case ID No.	-						
g. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1		u consinte necessare es se couesait.	reseas caca	and the control of the second		Depreciation
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	<b>Date Required</b>	Final Date	Yrs	<b>Interest Saved</b>	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							37.
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	1.0			0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	<u> </u>			0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs		<u> </u>		0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs							
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enterir	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	led costs)
Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
spection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$1.000	9-Aug-2008	15-Nov-2011	4.19	\$209	\$1,000	\$1,209
Other (as needed)		<u> </u>	4	0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	Estimated			trienni		ired is three years p	
	#	inves	sugation date.	-ınaı Da	ate is the compilar	ice date.	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$1,000			TOTAL		\$1.209

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

\$3,032

	Ε	conomic	Benefit	Wo	rksheet		
Respondent		porturates academic del proposition del proposition de la company de la company de la company de la company de	7				
Case ID No.	44350						
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101569010	)					
Media	Petroleum Sto	orage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	. 2	_				restent linesest	Depreciation
						5.0	15
		Data Danisland	Final Date	V	Tataward Caused	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
		Date Required	rinai Date	115	Interest Saveu	Oneume Costs	EB AIIIOUIIL
Item Description	No commas or \$						
		disks constructions are server account of the file	14 - 14 - 17 - 17 - 17 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	NOS 278 EST 677 CASSON	A		72.74.09.000 P. T. S.
Delayed Costs	\$				male con		
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land	1500	1 0 4 - 3044	20.0	0.00	\$0	Servicen/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	9-Aug-2011	30-Dec-2012	1.39 0.00	\$35	n/a	\$35 \$0
Training/Sampling					\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs		:	estimate	d date	of compliance.	tigation date. Final	
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	enteri	ng item (except	for one-time avoid	
Disposal				0.00		\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	ļ			0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	<u>\$0</u> \$0
Other (as needed)				1.0.00	<u>1 3U </u>	<u> </u>	30
	1						1
Notes for AVOIDED costs							
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$500	:		TOTAL		\$35

**Compliance History Report** Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603066374 Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 0.75 Regulated Entity: RN101569010 Speedmax 6 Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 0.75 PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION ID Number(s): 74357 REGISTRATION Location: 9531 C F HAWN FWY, DALLAS, TX, 75217 TCEQ Region: **REGION 04 - DFW METROPLEX Date Compliance History Prepared:** June 13, 2012 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: **Enforcement** Compliance Period: June 13, 2007 to June 13, 2012 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History **Thomas Greimel** Name: Phone: (512) 239-5690 **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? NO 3. If YES, who is the current owner/operator? N/A 4. If YES, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? N/A 5. If YES, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? N/A Rating Date: 9/1/2011 Repeat Violator: NO Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government. B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. Chronic excessive emissions events. C. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 07/23/2008 (685885)10/28/2010 (866106)05/01/2012 (950354)E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) Date: 07/23/2008 (685885) CN603066374 Self Report? NO Classification: Moderate Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 115, SubChapter C 115.246(7)(A) 30 TAC 115.246(7)(A); The facility failed to maintain records on-site and make Description: immediately available for review.

F Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

### N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	8	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	<b>§</b>	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
CLJS, INC. DBA SPEEDMAX 6	§	
RN101569010	<b>§</b>	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2012-1203-PST-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 ("Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 9531 C F Hawn Freeway in Dallas, Dallas County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about May 7, 2012.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seven Thousand Six Hundred Nine Dollars (\$7,609) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Seventy-Three Dollars (\$173) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Five Hundred Twenty-One Dollars

(\$1,521) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the penalty payment schedule, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Five Thousand Nine Hundred Fifteen Dollars (\$5,915) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Sixty-Nine Dollars (\$169) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent conducted the required triennial testing of the corrosion protection system with passing results on November 15, 2011.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to have the cathodic protection system tested for operability and adequacy of protection at a frequency of at least once every three years, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(c)(4)(C) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d), as documented during an

investigation conducted on August 9, 2011 and a record review conducted on May 1, 2012. Specifically, the triennial test had not been conducted.

2. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on August 9, 2011 and a record review conducted on May 1, 2012.

### III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6, Docket No. 2012-1203-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. It is further ordered that the Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin maintaining all UST records and ensure they are made immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10; and
  - b. Within 15 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision No. 2.a. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 DOCKET NO. 2012-1203-PST-E Page 4

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team Enforcement Division, MC 149A Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Waste Section Manager Dallas/Fort Worth Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 2309 Gravel Drive Fort Worth, Texas 76118-6951

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.

- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6 DOCKET NO. 2012-1203-PST-E Page 6

CLJS, Inc. dba Speedmax 6

# SIGNATURE PAGE

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Pom Davai J For the Executive Director	1/18/13 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behal	nd the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to f of the entity indicated below my signature, and I d therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in materially relying on such representation.
<ul> <li>A negative impact on compliance history</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit applicate</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney for the impact of the impact o</li></ul>	ory; tions submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, lees, or to a collection agency; recement actions; eneral's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	9/14 '12 Date
Daniel Son Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of	President Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.